# organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Carl Henrik Görbitz

Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, PO Box 1033 Blindern, N-0315 Oslo, Norway

Correspondence e-mail: c.h.gorbitz@kjemi.uio.no

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 150 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.010 Å R factor = 0.113 wR factor = 0.269 Data-to-parameter ratio = 7.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

## L-Phenylalanine nitrate (4/1)

The asymmetric unit of the title compound, L-phenylalaninium nitrate tris(L-phenylalanine),  $C_9H_{12}NO_2^+ \cdot NO_3^- \cdot 3C_9H_{11}NO_2$ , contains a nitrate anion and a peculiar sequence of four Lphenylalanine molecules. Three of them are present as zwitterions, while the last carries the positive charge and acts as donor in a -COOH····OOC- hydrogen bond with an  $O \cdots O$  distance of 2.443 (9) Å.

### Comment

The title compound, (I), was studied as part of a search for good crystallization conditions for various peptides. Several nice-looking crystals were obtained, but the diffraction patterns revealed that the structure was probably divided into layers that were misaligned along one axis. This made the unitcell determination difficult, and only after testing several specimens was it possible to find the third axis, collect diffraction data and integrate them successfully.



As a result of the poor crystal quality, the final R factor is high for a small molecule structure. Nevertheless, the structure proved to be interesting in having an odd sequence of four phenylalanine molecules in the asymmetric unit in addition to the nitrate anion (Fig. 1). Three of the phenylalanines (B, C)and D) are in the zwitterionic form. The positive charge most likely (see below) resides with the last amino acid molecule A, which is connected to molecule B by a very short – COOH···⁻OOC- hydrogen bond (Table 2). The shortness of this interaction can in part be attributed to the fact that the carboxylate group of molecule B participates in no other strong interactions, a most unusual situation. The COOH group of molecule A is furthermore involved in only one additional hydrogen bond with an H...O distance less than 2.50 Å.

The molecular packing shown in Fig. 2 has, as suspected, thick hydrophobic layers of L-phenylalanine side chains, and also hydrophilic layers composed of two interconnected hydrogen-bonded sheets. This is a very persistent pattern, which occurs not only in the structure of phenylalanine itself (Weissbuch et al., 1990) and its complexes with mandelic acid

© 2001 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

Görbitz •  $C_9H_{12}NO_2^+ \cdot NO_3^- \cdot 3C_9H_{11}NO_2$ 

Received 19 December 2000 Accepted 5 January 2001 Online 30 January 2001

# organic papers



Figure 1

The structure of the title compound with the atomic numbering indicated. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level and H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary size.



Figure 2

The unit cell and molecular packing viewed along the b axis. Hydrogen bonds are dashed.

(Okamura *et al.*, 1997), but also in other salts such as Lphenylalanine hydrochloride (Al-Karaghouli & Koetzle, 1975), L-phenylalnine L-phenylalaninium formate (Görbitz & Etter, 1992) and L-phenylalanine L-phenylalaninium perchlorate (Srinivasan & Rajaram, 1997). It is noteworthy that the latter two structures include one L-phenylalanine zwitterion and one L-phenylalaninium cation in the asymmetric unit, which are in both cases connected by a very short hydrogen bond like the one seen in the title structure.

## **Experimental**

L-Phenylalanine was obtained from Sigma and used as received. Crystals in the shape of long flat needles were grown by slow evaporation of a dilute nitric acid solution of the amino acid at room temperature.

Crystal data  $4C_9H_{12}NO_2^+ \cdot 4NO_3^- \cdot 12C_9H_{11}NO_2$ 

 $M_r = 723.80$ Monoclinic, C2 a = 30.285 (8) Å b = 5.3022 (13) Å c = 22.317 (6) Å  $\beta = 102.263$  (4)° V = 3501.9 (15) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

#### Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer

- Sets of exposures each taken over  $0.3^{\circ} \omega$  rotation scans Absorption correction: empirical
- (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{min} = 0.945, T_{max} = 0.993$ 9411 measured reflections

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.113$   $wR(F^2) = 0.269$  S = 1.633450 reflections 474 parameters H atoms constrained  $D_x = 1.373 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K $\alpha$  radiation Cell parameters from 3964 reflections  $\theta = 1.9-25.0^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 150 (2) KFlat needle, colourless  $0.55 \times 0.20 \times 0.07 \text{ mm}$ 

3450 independent reflections 2777 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.100$  $\theta_{max} = 25.0^{\circ}$  $h = -36 \rightarrow 34$  $k = -6 \rightarrow 6$  $I = -24 \rightarrow 26$ Intensity decay: none

$$\begin{split} &w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.098P)^2 \\ &+ 3.750P] \\ &where \ P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.005 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.62 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.54 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ {\rm Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXTL} \\ {\rm Extinction \ coefficient: \ 0.0053 \ (14)} \end{split}$$

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

O1A-C1A	1.219 (10)	O1C-C1C	1.298 (10)
O2A - C1A	1.271 (9)	O2C-C1C	1.230 (9)
N1A - C2A	1.494 (6)	N1C-C2C	1.489 (6)
O1B-C1B	1.247 (10)	O1D-C1D	1.261 (10)
O2B-C1B	1.265 (9)	O2D-C1D	1.250 (10)
N1B-C2B	1.490 (6)	N1D - C2D	1.491 (6)
O1A - C1A - O2A	127.3 (7)	O2C-C1C-O1C	124.9 (6)
O1A - C1A - C2A	118.2 (6)	O2C-C1C-C2C	118.1 (6)
O2A - C1A - C2A	114.5 (6)	O1C-C1C-C2C	117.0 (5)
O1B - C1B - O2B	125.4 (6)	O2D - C1D - O1D	125.9 (6)
O1B-C1B-C2B	118.5 (6)	O2D - C1D - C2D	117.5 (7)
O2B - C1B - C2B	115.8 (6)	O1D - C1D - C2D	116.5 (6)

O1A-C1A-C2A-N1A	-46.3 (8)	O1C-C1C-C2C-N1C	-10.3(9)
N1A-C2A-C3A-C4A	167.2 (6)	N1C-C2C-C3C-C4C	55.8 (7)
C2A-C3A-C4A-C5A	61.0 (10)	C2C-C3C-C4C-C5C	96.2 (8)
O1B-C1B-C2B-N1B	12.4 (9)	O1D - C1D - C2D - N1D	-0.7(9)
N1B-C2B-C3B-C4B	55.4 (7)	N1D-C2D-C3D-C4D	53.5 (7)
C2B-C3B-C4B-C5B	83.5 (8)	C2D-C3D-C4D-C5D	71.5 (10)

 Table 2

 Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$\overline{D - H \cdots A}$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O2A - HO2A \cdots O2B$	1.00	1.49	2.443 (9)	158
$N1A - H1A \cdots O1D^{i}$	0.91	2.11	2.858 (8)	138
$N1A - H1A \cdots O1A^{ii}$	0.91	2.19	2.844 (10)	128
$N1A - H2A \cdots O2D^{iii}$	0.91	1.97	2.837 (9)	159
$N1A - H3A \cdots O1D^{iv}$	0.91	1.95	2.785 (9)	152
$N1A - H3A \cdots O1A^{v}$	0.91	2.50	3.071 (8)	121
$N1B - H1B \cdots O2C^{vi}$	0.91	2.16	3.053 (10)	167
$N1B - H2B \cdots O2C$	0.91	2.17	3.012 (10)	154
$N1B - H3B \cdots O2E^{vii}$	0.91	2.01	2.898 (10)	166
$N1C-H1C\cdotsO1E^{vi}$	0.91	2.19	2.909 (10)	135
$N1C - H2C \cdot \cdot \cdot O1E$	0.91	2.04	2.903 (10)	158
$N1C - H3C \cdots O1C^{vii}$	0.91	1.86	2.744 (9)	164
$N1D - H1D \cdots O3E^{vi}$	0.91	2.01	2.886 (10)	161
$N1D - H2D \cdots O2D^{vi}$	0.91	2.07	2.896 (9)	151
$N1D - H3D \cdots O1B^{vii}$	0.91	2.40	2.954 (9)	119
$N1D - H3D \cdots O2A^{vii}$	0.91	2.46	3.322 (10)	157

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y - 1, z - 1; (ii) x, y - 1, z; (iii) x, y, z - 1; (iv)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, 1 - z$ ; (v)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z$ ; (vi) x, 1 + y, z; (vii)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, 1 - z$ .

Three sets of exposures with the detector set at  $2\theta = 29^{\circ}$ , crystal-todetector distance 4.98 cm. Constrained H-atom refinement with  $U_{iso}$ values set to  $1.2U_{eq}$  of the carrier atom or  $1.5U_{eq}$  for the amino groups. The H atom in the shortest hydrogen bond could not be found in difference Fourier maps. It could therefore not be established with certainty whether it is actually bonded to O2A or O2B (a centered position was considered to be less likely). A combined geometric and force-field calculation with the program *HYDROGEN* (Nardelli, 1999) showed, however, that the H atom is most likely associated with O2A, and it was thus named HO2A. For a 2.44 Å O···O distance, the previously observed lengthening of the covalent O–H bond is about 0.15 Å (Steiner & Saenger, 1994). The HO2A position output by *HYDROGEN* was consequently adjusted so as to increase the O–H bond length from 0.85 (default) to 1.00 Å. Based on results from a survey of neutron diffraction structures with COOH groups from the Cambridge Structural Database (Allen & Kennard, 1993), the C–O–H angle was furthermore set to 111.5° and the the C–C–O–H torsion angle was increased to 175° (Görbitz, 2001). *SAME* restraints were used for the four phenylalanine side chains. The absolute structure could not be determined, and Friedel pairs were merged in the final refinements.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The author thanks Professor Mario Nardelli for carrying out the *HYDROGEN* calculations. The purchase of the Siemens SMART diffractometer was made possible through support from The Research Council of Norway (NFR).

### References

Allen, F. & Kennard, O. (1993). Chem. Des. Autom. News, 8, 1, 31–37.

Al-Karaghouli, A. R. & Koetzle, T. F. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 2461-2465.

Bruker (1998). SAINT (Version 6.01) and SMART (Version 5.054). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Görbitz, C. H. (2001). Unpublished results.
- Görbitz, C. H. & Etter, M. C (1992). Acta Cryst. C48, 1317-1320.
- Nardelli, M. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 563-571.
- Okamura, K., Aoe, K., Hiramatsu, H., Nishimura, N. Sato, T. & Hashimoto, K. (1997). Anal. Sci. 13, 315–317.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXTL. Version 5.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Srinivasan, N. & Rajaram, R. K. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 1711–1713.
- Steiner, T. & Saenger, W. (1994). Acta Cryst. B50, 348-357.
- Weissbuch, I., Frolow, F., Addadi, L., Lahav, M. & Leiserowitz, L. (1990). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112, 7718–7724.